

PATENTS**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant:	Ronald A. Katz)	Examiner: S. Woo
Serial No.:	08/154,313)	
Filed:	November 17, 1993)	Art Unit: 2608
For:	SCHEDULING AND PROCESSING)	
	SYSTEM FOR TELEPHONE)	
	VIDEO COMMUNICATION)	
Docket No.:	6046-101C2)	

FAX COPY
RECEIVED
JUN 27 1995**LETTER**

707 Wilshire Blvd., 32nd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
May 22, 1995

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D. C. 20231

Sir:


Further to the telephone conversation with the Examiner this morning, it is respectfully submitted that the notice of abandonment received from the U.S. Patent Office indicates that the Applicant failed to respond to the office letter dated November 21, 1994, which is erroneous. The office action was mailed on November 22, 1994 (see attached copy of front page), to which Applicant timely responded with the appropriate extension fee on May 22, 1995. Receipt of the response is indicated by the attached copy of the return postcard. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the notice of abandonment be

withdrawn and the responsive amendment be considered.

Respectfully submitted,

NILSSON, WURST & GREEN


By:


Reena Kuyper
Registration No. 33,830

(213) 243-8000

Our Docket No. 6046-101C2

6646\101C2\tr.pto


UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

 Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
 Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/154,313 11/17/93 KATZ

26M1/1122

 B. G. NILSSON
 NILSSON, WURST & GREEN
 707 WILSHIRE BLVD., 32ND FLOOR
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017

R	6046101C2
EXAMINER	
WOO, S	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2

2608

DATE MAILED:

11/22/94

 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
 COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

☒ This application has been examined
 ☐ Responsive to communication filed on _____
 ☐ This action is made final.

 A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), _____ days from the date of this letter.
 Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. | 2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. |
| 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION1. ☒ Claims 1-24 are pending in the application.

Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.

2. ☐ Claims _____ have been cancelled.3. ☐ Claims _____ are allowed.4. ☒ Claims 1-24 are rejected.5. ☐ Claims _____ are objected to.6. ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.7. ☐ This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.8. ☐ Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
 9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
 are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).

 10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____, has (have) been ☐ approved by the
 examiner; ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).

 11. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been ☐ approved; ☐ disapproved (see explanation).

 12. ☐ Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☐ been received ☐ not been received
☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.

 13. ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in
 accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
14. ☐ Other**EXAMINER'S ACTION**



THE U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE IS RESPECTFULLY REQUESTED TO PLACE ITS STAMP ON THIS POST CARD AND PLACE IT IN THE OUTGOING MAIL. THE FOLLOWING PAPER HAS BEEN RECEIVED.

Amendment; Extension of Time; Return Postcard
(8 pages)

Applicant: Ronald A. Katz
Serial No.: 08/154,313
Filed: November 17, 1993
For SCHEDULING AND PROCESSING SYSTEM FOR
TELEPHONE VIDEO COMMUNICATION
Docket No.: 6046-101C2